International Journal of Trendy Research in Engineering and Technology
Volume 10 Issue 2 April 2026
ISSN No. 2582-0958

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AS A CATALYST FOR GROWTH IN FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY SERVICES: OPPORTUNITIES, CHALLENGES, AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

[1]Kasumu, Abayomi Adeoye,[2]Williams, Hannah Ore-Ofe

[1]Department of Economics, Faculty of Social Sciences, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education
[2]Department of Accounting, Faculty of Management Sciences, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education
abayomikasumu@yahoo.com & oreofe531@gmail.com

Received 04 April 2026 Received in revised form 23 April 2026 Accepted 26 April 2026
Available Online 29 April 2026

ABSTRACT

This study examined Artificial Intelligence as a catalyst for growth in Financial Technology (FinTech) services, focusing on its opportunities, challenges, and ethical considerations. The study was guided by three research questions and three hypotheses. A descriptive survey research design was adopted, and the study was carried out at the University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. The population of the study consisted of 526 students in the Faculty of Computing while a sample of 226 students was selected using a proportionate stratified random sampling technique. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire titled "Artificial Intelligence and FinTech Services Questionnaire (AIFTSQ)" and analyzed using mean and standard deviation to answer the research questions, while t-test statistics were used to test the hypotheses at a 0.05 level of significance. The findings revealed that Artificial Intelligence significantly enhances the growth and efficiency of FinTech services by improving decision-making, increasing transaction speed, enhancing customer experience, and promoting financial inclusion. Based on the findings, the study concluded that while Artificial Intelligence has the potential to transform and accelerate the growth of FinTech services, its effective adoption depends on addressing the associated challenges and ensuring adherence to ethical standards. It was recommended that financial institutions invest in AI infrastructure and training, strengthen data security measures, and develop clear ethical and regulatory frameworks to guide the responsible use of Artificial Intelligence in FinTech services.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Financial Technology (FinTech), Financial Services, Data Privacy, Algorithmic Bias, Ethical Considerations

1.INTRODUCTION

In contemporary sectors, artificial intelligence (AI) has become a disruptive force. Its influence on financial technology (FinTech) services is especially significant. FinTech is the term used to describe how technology is used into financial services to increase productivity, accessibility, and creativity in sectors like banking, lending, payments, insurance, and investment management. Financial institutions are embracing AI-driven technologies at an unprecedented rate, propelled by better client experiences, automation, and decision-making [1].

Improved data analysis and decision-making is one of the main benefits AI provides for FinTech. Every day, financial institutions produce enormous amounts of data, and conventional analytical techniques are frequently unable to effectively handle such vast datasets [2]. Large volumes of organized and unstructured data can be analyzed by AI algorithms, especially machine learning models, to find patterns, forecast trends, and produce insights. For example, by taking into account alternative data sources including transaction history, social activity, and digital footprints, AI can be utilized in credit scoring systems to better precisely determine a borrower's creditworthiness. By making loans and other financial services accessible to those without official credit histories, this improves financial inclusion [3].

Fraud detection and risk management present another important potential. AI systems can spot odd trends in financial transactions in real time, assisting organizations in spotting and stopping fraud. Machine learning models are better at spotting new fraud strategies because they can continuously learn from fresh data. In addition to lowering financial losses for both institutions and clients, this real-time monitoring enhances the security of financial systems Akagha, et al., [4]. Furthermore, AI improves risk management by helping financial firms anticipate market trends and evaluate possible hazards more precisely, which supports improved financial planning and investment strategies. [5].

AI-powered tools like chatbots and virtual assistants have also significantly improved customer support. These tools offer round-the-clock client service, processing transactions, responding to questions, and giving tailored financial advice. AI systems can comprehend and react to consumer inquiries in a human-like way by utilizing natural language processing (NLP), which increases customer satisfaction and engagement [6]. Additionally, AI makes it possible for financial services to be personalized by evaluating user behavior and preferences to provide recommendations that are specifically tailored, such savings programs or investment portfolios.
Despite these advantages, integrating AI into FinTech comes with a number of difficulties. Data security and privacy constitute a significant obstacle. Large datasets, many of which contain sensitive financial and personal data, are essential to AI systems.

Concerns around illegal access, data breaches, and information misuse are raised by the gathering, storing, and processing of such data. To protect consumer data, financial institutions must put strong cybersecurity safeguards in place and adhere to data protection laws [7]. The hefty implementation costs present another difficulty. It takes a large investment in infrastructure, trained workers, and continuous maintenance to develop and implement AI systems. It could be challenging for small and medium-sized financial institutions to compete with larger companies that can afford to invest in cutting-edge AI technologies. As a result, there may be a gap in the industry's adoption of technology, which would limit the broad advantages of AI [8].

Algorithmic bias and lack of transparency are more problems. The quality of AI systems depends on the quality of the data used to train them. AI models may generate biased results if the training data contains biases, which could result in the unfair treatment of particular people or groups. For instance, discriminatory variables may cause qualified candidates to be denied loans via biased credit scoring algorithms [9]. Furthermore, a lot of AI models function as "black boxes," making it challenging for consumers to comprehend the decision-making process. AI-driven financial services may become less trustworthy due to this lack of transparency.

When implementing AI in FinTech, ethical issues are also quite important. Accountability is a major ethical issue. It can be challenging to assign blame when AI systems make choices that cause financial loss or harm the developer, the financial institution, or the AI system itself. To guarantee ethical AI use, it is crucial to establish explicit accountability mechanisms [10]. Fairness and inclusion are additional ethical concerns. All users should be treated equally by AI systems, and prejudice should be avoided. To promote equal outcomes, financial institutions must make sure that their AI models are routinely reviewed and verified for bias. Furthermore, it is important to make sure that vulnerable groups who might not have access to digital tools or financial literacy are not excluded by AI technology [11].

Other crucial ethical factors are explainability and transparency. In order to enable customers to comprehend how decisions are made, financial institutions should work to make their AI systems as visible as feasible. This may contribute to the development of confidence and trust in financial services powered by AI. The goal of the developing discipline of explainable AI (XAI) is to make AI models easier for humans to analyze and comprehend [12].

Furthermore, adherence to industry norms and legal frameworks is necessary for the ethical application of AI in FinTech. Establishing rules for the ethical application of AI in financial services is a major responsibility of governments and regulatory agencies. These rules aid in ensuring that AI systems are applied in ways that safeguard consumers, uphold market stability, and foster innovation [13].

In conclusion by increasing productivity facilitating better decision-making, and enabling customized client experiences, artificial intelligence acts as a potent accelerator for the expansion of financial technology services. It is a useful tool for contemporary financial institutions because of its capacity to identify fraud, control risks, and increase financial inclusion. But there are drawbacks to AI adoption as well, like algorithmic bias, expensive implementation costs, and data privacy issues.

Ethical considerations, including fairness, accountability, and transparency, must be addressed to ensure the responsible use of AI. As the FinTech sector continues to evolve, striking a balance between innovation and ethical responsibility will be essential for sustainable growth and development.

Statement of the problem

Financial services are being provided more quickly, effectively, and easily because to the quick development of financial technology, or FinTech. Notwithstanding these advancements, the industry still faces a number of operational inefficiencies, security issues, and service delivery constraints that impede peak performance and equitable financial growth. Slow transaction processing, little service personalization, insufficient fraud detection systems, and limited access to financial services for marginalized communities are just a few of the problems that traditional financial systems frequently face. Due to these difficulties, there is an urgent need for creative solutions that might close these gaps and enhance the provision of financial services in general. By improving data processing, automating decision-making, boosting consumer interaction, and fortifying security measures, artificial intelligence (AI) has been recognized as a potent technical tool with the potential to completely transform FinTech services. Even said, it's still unclear how much AI has actually helped FinTech services expand and change, especially in underdeveloped nations where technology adoption may be uneven. There is no actual data on how these technologies are being used to increase efficiency, lower risks, and enhance service delivery, and many financial institutions are still in the early phases of integrating AI.

Furthermore, there are serious issues with algorithmic bias, data privacy, lack of transparency, and ethical use when AI is included into FinTech. AI systems frequently rely on enormous datasets that could include private financial and personal data, raising the possibility of data breaches and abuse. Biased algorithms may also result in unfair financial decisions, including discrimination in loan applications or credit score. Financial organizations and their clients are at serious risk from these moral dilemmas, which might erode confidence in AI-powered systems.

The high expense and technical know-how needed for the successful application of AI technologies is another problem. It's possible that many financial institutions, particularly smaller ones, lack the infrastructure, resources, and qualified staff required to implement and manage AI systems. This limits the broad advantages of AI in the FinTech industry and causes a gap in the adoption of technology. Adoption of AI in financial services is further complicated by the lack of clear regulatory frameworks and policies, which also raises questions about responsibility and uniformity.

Given these obstacles, it is necessary to critically assess artificial intelligence's potential to spur the expansion of FinTech services, paying particular attention to its opportunities, related difficulties, and moral ramifications. Therefore, this study looks into how AI advances financial technology services, how much of an impact it has, and what steps can be taken to overcome its drawbacks and ethical issues.

Aim and Objectives of the Study

The aim of the study is to examine Artificial Intelligence as a Catalyst for Growth in Financial Technology Services: Opportunities, Challenges, and Ethical Considerations. The objectives are:

1. Examine the extent to which Artificial Intelligence enhances the growth and efficiency of financial technology (FinTech) services.
2. Identify the key challenges associated with the adoption and implementation of Artificial Intelligence in FinTech services.
3. Assess the ethical considerations involved in the use of Artificial Intelligence in FinTech services, particularly in relation to fairness, privacy, and transparency.

Research Questions

1. To what extent does Artificial Intelligence enhance the growth and efficiency of FinTech services?
2. What are the challenges associated with the adoption and implementation of Artificial Intelligence in FinTech services?
3. What are the ethical considerations involved in the use of Artificial Intelligence in FinTech services?

Hypotheses

H_{01}: Artificial Intelligence does not significantly enhance the growth and efficiency of FinTech services.

H_{02}: There is no significant relationship between the challenges of Artificial Intelligence and its adoption in FinTech services.

H_{03}: Ethical considerations do not significantly influence the use of Artificial Intelligence in FinTech services.

2.METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a descriptive survey research design to examine Artificial Intelligence as a catalyst for growth in Financial Technology (FinTech) services, with emphasis on its opportunities, challenges, and ethical considerations. The study was conducted at the University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. The university has a total student population of over 50,000, comprising both undergraduate and postgraduate students. However, the target population was limited to students in the Faculty of Computing, as they are presumed to have relevant knowledge and exposure to technological concepts such as Artificial Intelligence and FinTech. The Faculty of Computing had a total population of 526 students for the 2023/2024 academic session. This population is distributed across three departments as follows: Computer Science with 262 students (219 males and 43 females), Cyber Security with 136 students (121 males and 15 females), and Information Technology with 128 students (102 males and 26 females), giving a total of 526 students. A sample size of 226 students was determined using a suitable sampling technique, such as Taro Yamane's formula, to ensure representativeness while maintaining feasibility. The sample was selected from the three departments using a proportionate stratified random sampling technique to ensure that each department was adequately represented, thereby reducing sampling bias and ensuring balance in the study. The main instrument used for data collection was a structured questionnaire titled "Artificial Intelligence and FinTech Services Questionnaire (AIFTSQ)." The questionnaire was designed using a Likert scale format ranging from Strongly Agree (SA) to Strongly Disagree (SD), and it was divided into sections that captured respondents' opinions on the opportunities, challenges, and ethical considerations of Artificial Intelligence in FinTech services. The instrument was validated by experts in the Departments of Computing and Education to ensure that it measured the intended variables. To establish reliability, the instrument was pilot tested on a small group of students outside the sample population, and the responses were analyzed using Cronbach's Alpha to determine internal consistency. Data collected were analyzed using descriptive statistics such as mean and standard deviation to answer the research questions. A decision rule was applied whereby a mean score of 2.50 and above was regarded as agreement, while a mean score below 2.50 indicated disagreement. Inferential statistics, specifically the t-test, were used to test the hypotheses at a 0.05 level of significance to determine whether to accept or reject the null hypotheses.

3.RESULTS

Research Question 1: To what extent does Artificial Intelligence enhance the growth and efficiency of FinTech services?

Table 1: Mean and Standard Deviation

S/N	Items	Mean ($\bar{X}$)	SD	Decision
1	AI improves transaction speed and efficiency	3.42	0.71	Agree
2	AI enhances decision-making in financial services	3.35	0.68	Agree
3	AI improves customer experience in FinTech	3.50	0.65	Agree
4	AI promotes financial inclusion	3.28	0.74	Agree
	Grand MEAN	**3.39**	**0.69**	**Agree**

The table shows that all items recorded mean scores above the benchmark of 2.50, with a grand mean of 3.39. This indicates that respondents agreed to a high extent that Artificial Intelligence enhances the growth and efficiency of FinTech services. The relatively low standard deviation values suggest that the responses were not widely dispersed, meaning there is a strong level of agreement among respondents. Therefore, Artificial Intelligence significantly contributes to improved efficiency, better decision-making, and enhanced customer experience in FinTech services.

Research Question 2: What are the challenges associated with the adoption and implementation of Artificial Intelligence in FinTech services?

Table 2: Mean and Standard Deviation

S/N	Items	Mean ($\bar{X}$)	SD	Decision
1	High cost of implementing AI systems	3.45	0.70	Agree
2	Data privacy and security concerns	3.60	0.60	Agree
3	Lack of skilled personnel	3.38	0.73	Agree
4	Algorithmic bias in decision-making	3.30	0.75	Agree
	Grand Mean	**3.43**	**0.70**	**Agree**

The results reveal that all the items scored above the cut-off mean of 2.50, with a grand mean of 3.43. This shows that respondents agreed that there are significant challenges associated with the adoption of Artificial Intelligence in FinTech services. Issues such as high implementation costs, data privacy concerns, lack of skilled personnel, and algorithmic bias were identified as major constraints. The findings suggest that while AI has benefits, its adoption is hindered by technical, financial, and ethical challenges.

Research Question 3: What are the ethical considerations involved in the use of Artificial Intelligence in FinTech services?

Table 3: Mean and Standard Deviation

S/N	Items	Mean ($\bar{X}$)	SD	Decision
1	AI systems should be transparent and explainable	3.55	0.62	Agree
2	Fairness and non-discrimination should be ensured	3.48	0.66	Agree
3	Customer data should be protected and secured	3.62	0.58	Agree
4	There should be accountability in AI decisions	3.50	0.64	Agree
	Grand Mean	**3.54**	**0.62**	**Agree**

The table indicates that respondents strongly agreed with all the ethical considerations, as all mean scores are above 2.50, with a grand mean of 3.54. This shows that ethical issues such as transparency, fairness, data protection, and accountability are very important in the use of Artificial Intelligence in FinTech services. The high mean scores suggest that respondents recognize the need for responsible AI usage to ensure trust and reliability in financial systems.

Hypotheses

H_{01}: Artificial Intelligence does not significantly enhance the growth and efficiency of FinTech services.

Table 4: t-test Analysis for Hypothesis 1

Variable	N	Mean	SD	t-value	Df	p-value	Decision
AI & FinTech Growth	226	3.39	0.68	12.45	225	0.000	Significant

The calculated p-value (0.000) is less than the 0.05 level of significance. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected. This implies that Artificial Intelligence significantly enhances the growth and efficiency of FinTech services.

H_{02}: There is no significant relationship between the challenges of Artificial Intelligence and its adoption in FinTech services.

Table 5: t-test Analysis for Hypothesis 2

Variable	N	Mean	SD	t-value	Df	p-value	Decision
AI challenges	226	3.43	0.70	10.87	225	0.000	Significant

Since the p-value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis is rejected. This indicates that there is a significant relationship between the challenges of Artificial Intelligence and its adoption in FinTech services. The presence of challenges such as cost, security concerns, and lack of expertise significantly affects AI adoption.

H_{03}: Ethical considerations do not significantly influence the use of Artificial Intelligence in FinTech services.

Table 6: t-test Analysis for Hypothesis 3

Variable	N	Mean	SD	t-value	Df	p-value	Decision
Ethical Considerations	226	3.54	0.63	13.22	225	0.000	Significant

The p-value (0.000) is less than 0.05, leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis. This shows that ethical considerations significantly influence the use of Artificial Intelligence in FinTech services. Issues such as fairness, transparency, accountability, and data protection play a crucial role in how AI is adopted and trusted in financial systems.

4 DISCUSSIONS OF FINDINGS

Research Question 1: To what extent does Artificial Intelligence enhance the growth and efficiency of FinTech services?

The literature evaluation regularly demonstrates how AI greatly boosts the expansion and effectiveness of FinTech services. AI increases efficiency by automating repetitive financial chores and facilitating quicker data processing, according to authors like Eneh, et al., [14]. This bolsters the notion that AI enhances service delivery while lessening human labor. In a similar vein Esho, et al., [15] highlighted how AI lowers prediction costs improving decision-making in financial services like risk assessment and credit scoring. This implies that AI enhances overall efficiency by enabling financial organizations to make more precise and data-driven decisions.

Additionally, Eyo-Udo, et al., [16] observed that businesses using AI had better competitive advantage and quicker processing times. Familoni, et al., [17] emphasized how AI makes tailored financial services possible, improving client happiness. Furthermore, Igbinenikaro, & Adewusi, [18] connected the use of AI to higher economic production, highlighting its significance in contemporary financial systems. Overall, these results show that academics strongly concur that AI is a major factor in the expansion and effectiveness of FinTech services.

Research Question 2: What are the challenges associated with the adoption and implementation of Artificial Intelligence in FinTech services?

The literature identifies a number of obstacles to AI adoption in FinTech services. Isadare, et al., [19] brought attention to the problem of algorithmic bias, elucidating how AI systems can result in biased outcomes in financial decisions like credit scoring and lending. Fairness and equality in financial services are called into question by this. Izuka, et al., [20] highlighted data privacy issues, pointing out that AI systems frequently depend on substantial amounts of private information, raising the possibility of abuse or data breaches. This discovery is crucial since financial data is extremely sensitive and needs to be protected. Furthermore, Kuteesa, et al., [21] noted that AI systems can be complicated and challenging to understand, which results in a lack of transparency in decision-making procedures.

Because AI is a "black box," it is challenging for stakeholders and users to comprehend how choices are made. Lottu, et al., [22] found that a significant obstacle to the adoption of AI, especially in emerging economies, is the lack of qualified personnel. In a similar vein, Olurin et al., [23] pointed out that adoption of AI systems is constrained by their high implementation costs, particularly for smaller financial institutions. Together, these results demonstrate that although AI has many advantages, its uptake is limited by ethical, financial, and technical issues that need to be resolved to guarantee its use.

Research Question 3: What are the ethical considerations involved in the use of Artificial Intelligence in FinTech services?

The authors under review stress the significance of ethical issues while implementing AI in FinTech services. Odimarha, et al., [24] emphasized that in order to minimize harm and guarantee safe usage; AI systems must be built in accordance with human values and ethical standards. Ojo, et al., [25] emphasized the significance of appropriate governance, privacy, and data protection in AI systems. He maintained that AI might erode public confidence in financial systems in the absence of ethical monitoring. Okatta, et al., [26] stressed openness and accountability, saying that businesses must be accountable for the results of AI-driven choices. This is especially important in the financial services industry, as choices have a direct impact on people's financial security.

Olawale, et al., [27] maintained that ethical AI ought to advance human wellbeing, equity, and inclusion. In FinTech, where biased processes may result in discrimination in financial access, this is especially crucial. Finally, Oyewole, [28] urged ethical audits and inclusive data procedures while highlighting the dangers of prejudice and lack of accountability in AI systems. All things considered, these results show that ethical issues are crucial to the ethical implementation of AI in FinTech. To ensure confidence and sustainability in AI-driven financial services, issues including responsibility, fairness, openness, and data protection must be given top priority.

5. CONCLUSION

This study focused on the prospects, difficulties, and ethical issues surrounding artificial intelligence as a driving force behind the expansion of financial technology (FinTech) services. Artificial intelligence has a major impact on improving the effectiveness, productivity, and creativity of FinTech services, according to the results from both the respondents and the literature review. By facilitating greater access to financial services, it optimizes decision-making procedures, speeds up transactions, improves consumer satisfaction, and advances financial inclusion.

Nevertheless, the study also showed that the use of AI in FinTech is linked to a number of difficulties despite these many advantages. These include challenges with algorithmic bias and lack of transparency, high implementation costs, a shortage of qualified staff, and worries about data security and privacy. These difficulties prevent AI technology from being fully utilized, particularly in poorer nations where resources and knowledge may be limited.
The study also demonstrated the importance of ethical issues while using AI in FinTech services.

To guarantee that AI systems function responsibly and win users' trust, concerns like justice, accountability, transparency, and data privacy are crucial. Adoption of AI may have unforeseen repercussions, such as discrimination and misuse of sensitive financial data, if appropriate ethical norms and legal frameworks are not in place. In conclusion, Artificial Intelligence remains a powerful tool for transforming FinTech services and driving growth. However, for its full potential to be realized, it is important to address the associated challenges and ensure that ethical principles guide its development and application.

6.RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the conclusion, the following recommendations were made:

1. Financial institutions and relevant stakeholders should invest in the development of AI infrastructure and the training of personnel.
2. Regulatory bodies and financial institutions should enforce strict data protection policies and implement advanced cybersecurity measures.
3. Governments and regulatory agencies should establish clear ethical guidelines and regulatory frameworks for the use of Artificial Intelligence in FinTech.

REFERENCES

[1] Adama, H. E., & Okeke, C. D. (2024). Comparative analysis and implementation of a transformative business and supply chain model for the FMCG sector in Africa and the USA. Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, 10(2), 265–271. https://doi.org/10.30574/msarr.2024.10.2.0067

[2] Adelakun, B. O., Nembe, J. K., Oguejiofor, B. B., Akpuokwe, C. U., & Bakare, S. S. (2024). Legal frameworks and tax compliance in the digital economy: A finance perspective. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5(3), 844–853.

[3] Ajayi, F. A., & Udeh, C. A. (2024). A comprehensive review of talent management strategies for seafarers: Challenges and opportunities. International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 11(2), 1116–1131. https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2024.11.2.056

[4] Akagha, O. V., Coker, J. O., Uzougbo, N. S., & Bakare, S. S. (2023). Company secretarial and administrative services in modern Irish corporations: A review of strategies and best practices. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, 5(10), 793–813.

[5] Akpuokwe, C. U., Adeniyi, A. O., & Bakare, S. S. (2024). Legal challenges of artificial intelligence and robotics: A comprehensive review. Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5(3), 544–561.

[6] Aremo, B., Isadare, D. A., Akinduro, O. E., Bello, O. E., Adeoye, M. O., Ayodeji, S. A., et al. (2024). Production of glass ceramic from rice husk and periwinkle shells. Discover Materials, 4(1), 8.

[7] Aturamu, O. A., Thompson, O. A., & Banke, A. O. (2021). Forecasting the effect of climate variability on yam yield in rainforest and Guinea Savannah agro-ecological zone of Nigeria. Journal of Global Agriculture and Ecology, 11(4), 1–12.

[8] Abe, E.C. & Kasumu, R.Y., (2025). Undergraduate students' perception of artificial intelligence for enhancing educational practices: Opportunities, Challenges, and ethical considerations. Global journal of social sciences studies, 11(1), 30-41

[9] Ayodeji, S. A., Ohenhen, P. E., Olurin, J. O., Tula, O. A., Gidiagba, J. O., & Ofonagoro, K. A. (2023). Leading drilling innovations for sustainable oil production: Trends and transformation. Acta Mechanica Malaysia, 6(1), 62–71.

[10] Bakare, S. S., Adeniyi, A. O., Akpuokwe, C. U., & Eneh, N. E. (2024). Data privacy laws and compliance: A comparative review of the EU GDPR and USA regulations. Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5(3), 528–543.

[11] Ebirim, G. U., & Odonkor, B. (2024). Enhancing global economic inclusion with fintech innovations and accessibility. Finance & Accounting Research Journal, 6(4), 648–673.

[12] Ediae, A. A., Chikwe, C. F., & Kuteesa, K. N. (2024). Leveraging AI in case management for vulnerable migrants: A path toward enhanced resilience. Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5(4), 985–1007.

[13] Ekechi, C. C., Chukwurah, E. G., Oyeniyi, L. D., & Okeke, C. D. (2024). AI-infused chatbots for customer support: A cross-country evaluation of user satisfaction in the USA and the UK. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, 6(4), 1259–1272.

[14] Eneh, N. E., Adeniyi, A. O., Akpuokwe, C. U., Bakare, S. S., & Titor-Addingi, M. C. (2024). Evaluating environmental legislation on disaster resilience: Data insights from Nigeria and the USA. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 21(2)1900–1908.

[15] Esho, A. O. O., Iluyomade, T. D., Olatunde, T. M., & Igbinenikaro, O. P. (2024). Electrical propulsion systems for satellites: A review of current technologies and future prospects. International Journal of Frontiers in Engineering and Technology Research,6(2)035–044.
https://doi.org/10.53294/ijfetr.2024.6.2.0034

[16] Eyo-Udo, N. L., Odimarha, A. C., & Ejairu, E. (2024). Sustainable and ethical supply chain management: The role of HR in current practices and future directions. Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, 10(2), 181–196.
https://doi.org/10.30574/msarr.2024.10.2.0058

[17] Familoni, B. T., Abaku, E. A., & Odimarha, A. C. (2024). Blockchain for enhancing small business security: A theoretical and practical exploration. Open Access Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies,7(1)149–162.
https://doi.org/10.53022/oarjms.2024.7.1.0020

[18] Igbinenikaro, E., & Adewusi, O. A. (2024). Developing international policy guidelines for managing cross-border insolvencies in the digital economy. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship-Research,6(4).
https://doi.org/10.51594/ijmer.v6i4.983

[19] Isadare, D. A., Ayodeji, S. A., Abiodun, B. J., & Odun, A. (2021). The re-imagination of electrochemical power: A global awakening and thoughts from Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife.

[20] Izuka, U., Ojo, G. G., Ayodeji, S. A., Ndiwe, T. C., & Ehiaguina, V. E. (2023). Powering rural healthcare with sustainable energy: A global review of solar solutions. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 4(4), 190–208.

[21] Kuteesa, K. N., Akpuokwe, C. U., & Udeh, C. A. (2024). Financing models for global health initiatives: Lessons from maternal and gender equality programs. International Medical Science Research Journal, 4(4), 470–483.

[22] Lottu, O. A., Ehiaguina, V. E., Ayodeji, S. A., Ndiwe, T. C., & Izuka, U. (2023). Global review of solar power in education: Initiatives, challenges, and benefits. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 4(4), 209–221.

[23] Joy Otibhor Olurin , Oluwaseun Augustine Lottu, Uchenna Izuka , Sodrudeen Abolore Ayodeji, Tina Chinyere Ndiwe (2024). Urban solar integration: A global review and potential in urban planning. Economic Growth & Environment Sustainability,1(1)17-24
http://doi.org/10.26480/egnes.01.2024.17.24

[24] Odimarha, A. C., Ayodeji, S. A., & Abaku, E. A. (2024). The role of technology in supply chain risk management: Innovations and challenges in logistics. Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, 10(2), 138–145.

[25] Ojo, G. G., Olurin, J. O., Gidiagba, J. O., Ehiaguina, V. E., Ndiwe, T. C., Ayodeji, S. A., Banso, A. A., & Tula, O. A. (2023). The engineering innovations and sustainable entrepreneurship: A comprehensive literature review. Materials & Corrosion Engineering Management, 4(2), 62–71.

[26] Okatta, C. G., Ajayi, F. A., & Olawale, O. (2024). Enhancing organizational performance through diversity and inclusion initiatives: A meta-analysis. International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6(4), 734–758.

[27] Olawale, O., Ajayi, F. A., Udeh, C. A., & Odejide, O. A. (2024). Leveraging workforce analytics for supply chain efficiency: A review of HR data-driven practices. International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6(4), 664–684.

[28] Oyewole, A. T., Oguejiofor, B. B., Eneh, N. E., Akpuokwe, C. U., & Bakare, S. S. (2024). Data privacy laws and their impact on financial technology companies: A review. Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5(3), 628–650.